Introduction

Syncope accounts for 1-3% of the consultations in the emergency room, and the estimate of syncope caused by a pulmonary embolism (PE) is low (0.8-1%) [2]. Conversely, 10% of the PE present with syncope [3].

The classic diagnostic algorithm of syncope involves: anamnesis, physical examination, orthostatism test, carotid sinus massage, risk stratification, ECG, and analytical (including D-dimer if there is clinical and/or suspicious signs of PE) [1]. Thus, the percentage of normal angioTAC derived from obtaining a high D-dimer (DD) in cases of syncope is: 74-88% [4]. This implies: poor profitability of DD (500ng/mL) [4]: specificity 45% and sensitivity 94%; and the realization of an unnecessary number of angioTAC, with its complications: radiation, contrast allergies, deterioration of renal function, diagnosis of non-critical PE with consequent anticoagulation and its risks.

Until now, coherently, whenever the situation was not suspicious, we obviated requesting this type of analysis with low profitability and associated complications.

However occasionally we find cases of syncope caused by a critical oligosymptomatic PE (50% of the PE can be silent, without deep venous thrombosis [5]).

Clinical cases


Discussion
Given this situation, we consider the need to diagnose these cases without having to assume the poor profitability of DD and its negative consequences. We suggest the use of ultrasound at the door of the bed in the emergency departments during the diagnosis of syncope could give us that possibility, as defended by different authors such as Squizzato A [5], Abassi OZ [6] or Chung-Esaki H [7].

We know that only a massive PE produces syncope [2, 6], and also that a critical PE produces pulmonary hypertension and dilation of right cardiac cavities [5, 7]. Therefore, if we perform an ultrasound at the foot of the bed (non-invasive and without intrinsic complications) on the patient with syncope and discard right ventricle dilatation, we will be sure of having eliminated a PE as the cause of syncope, without the need for further complementary tests (Figure 1). With this combined diagnostic approach to syncope, we will maintain DD> 90% sensitivity and increase its specificity to 86% [5].

![Figure 1: Measurement of the right ventricle (RV) in a normal individual (A) and in a patient with RV dilation secondary to lung embolism (B). Image obtained from the basic echocardiography course for non-cardiologists.](image)

Therefore, it is advised that the introduction of ultrasound at the bedside within the diagnostic scheme of syncope is useful to exclude the diagnosis of critical PE (in addition to ruling out other causes such as cardiac tamponade) and, although this approach is not diagnostic definitively, it improves the profitability of DD in order to obtain further confirmatory imaging tests. Ultrasound has limitations and therefore, in no case replaces the angioTAC as a modality for definite diagnosis. MRI could open up an avenue in this aspect. However, the technical limitations and the limited application in situations of urgency are its main drawbacks [8].

Conclusion
With this evaluation of clinical cases and disquisition on the contributions of ultrasound at the bedside in cases of syncope, we seek to generate debate and studies that can demonstrate the advantages of its use in the emergency services in these cases.
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